

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

February 28, 2003



Applicant(s): Simon J. FENNEY
 Title : SHADING THREE DIMENSIONAL COMPUTER GRAPHICS IMAGES

Serial No. : 09/486 012 Group: 2671

Confirmation No.: 3729

Filed : May 15, 2000 Examiner: H. CAO

International Application No.: PCT/GB98/02488

International Filing Date : August 19, 1998

RECEIVED

Atty. Docket No.: R&G 305

MAR 12 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

Herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

 Statement(s) re small entity status submitted previously. Statement(s) re small entity status enclosed. No additional filing fee is required. The additional filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

For	No. Filed	No. Extra	(X) LG Entity	RATE ()	SM Entity	Fee
Basic Fee			\$750.00	\$375.00		\$0.00
Total Claims	(20 - 20 = 0)		x \$ 18.00	x \$ 9.00		0.00
Indep. Claims	(3 - 3 = 0)		x \$ 84.00	x \$ 42.00		0.00
[] Multiple Dep. Claim			+ \$280.00	+ \$140.00		0.00
* * * TOTAL FILING FEE * * *						\$ 0.00


 A Check for \$110.00 is enclosed to cover fees. Please credit any overpayment, or charge any additional filing fee or application processing fee required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 by this communication, to Deposit Account No. 06-1382. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

IN DUPLICATE

Respectfully submitted,

DSG\pcq

Encl: Listed above


 David S. Goldenberg Reg. No. 31 257

130.0112



#11
Recomend
3/10/03
NMX

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

February 28, 2003

Applicant(s): Simon J. FENNEY

For: SHADING THREE DIMENSIONAL COMPUTER GRAPHICS IMAGES

Serial No.: 09/486 012 Group: 2671

Confirmation No.: 3729

Filed: May 15, 2000 Examiner: H. CAO

International Application No.: PCT/GB98/02488

International Filing Date: August 19, 1998

Atty. Docket No.: R&G 305

Box Non-Fee Amendment

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

MAR 12 2003

Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action for the above-identified application mailed October 28, 2002.

IN THE SPECIFICATION

The Applicant now submits both marked-up and substitute specifications for the above-identified application. The marked-up specification indicates the changes the Applicant proposes to make to the specification as originally filed. The substitute specification is based on the original specification and contains the changes the Applicant proposes making.

The substitute specification does not contain any new matter over what was in the application when it was originally filed.